



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/493,472	01/28/2000	James P. Mitchell	00CR063/KE	2281
7590 01/30/2006			EXAMINER	
Kyle Eppele			LAMBRECHT, CHRISTOPHER M	
ROCKWELL COLLINS INC ATTN: Kyle Eppele			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
400 Collins Road N.E.			2611	
Cedar Rapids, IA 52498			DATE MAIL ED. 01/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/493,472	MITCHELL, JAMES P.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher M. Lambrecht	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Oc	ctober 2005.						
	action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	🗖 .						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-945) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conrad (of record) in view of Podowski (of record).

Regarding claims 1, 12, 13, and 17, Conrad discloses a communication system (fig. 1) for a mobile platform [50, 53], the mobile platform being stationary at a docking area (terminal, col. 5, ll. 14-18 and col. 9, ll. 50-55), the communication system comprising:

- a satellite receiver [208] (fig. 3) on the mobile platform [50, 53] (col. 8, ll. 61-67);
- a wireless docking area transceiver [203] (fig. 3) (col. 9, ll. 50-55);
- a wireless platform transceiver [228] (fig. 3) (col. 9, ll. 50-55);
- a wireless platform transceiver [228] on the mobile platform [50, 53] receiving order wire data (col. 6, ll. 30-41 and col. 7, ll. 5-14) and video data (col. 8, ll. 40-49) from the wireless docking area transceiver while the mobile platform is at the docking area (col. 5, ll. 14-18); and
- a storage unit [220] (fig. 3), the storage unit being located on the mobile platform (col. 8, ll. 61-64), the wireless docking area transceiver [203] providing the video data and the order wire data (col. 7, ll. 5-14) to the wireless platform transceiver [228] while the mobile platform is at the docking area (col. 9,

Art Unit: 2611

Il. 50-55), wherein the storage unit stores the video data for playback in the mobile platform and the storage unit storing the order wire data (col. 11, ll. 41-45), the order wire data controls a source of video playback of a program being either video data in the storage unit or the satellite receiver, or both the storage unit and the satellite receiver (col. 11, l. 64 - col. 12, l. 15). Thus, Conrad teaches that the docking area receives the order wire and video data from a distribution center, and communicates said data to the mobile platform while said mobile platform is at the docking area. Conrad fails, however, to disclose the docking area receives said data via a satellite receiver, and stores it in a storage unit of a server located in the docking area.

In analogous art, Podowski discloses a docking area [terminal] for a mobile platform [aircraft] (see fig. 1) at which various entertainment and control data are communicated from a distribution center to said mobile platform (see cols. 2-3). Located in the docking area is a server, [41] (fig. 4; col. 3, ll. 40-45) comprising a satellite receiver [42] and a storage unit [44] (fig. 4) for storing video data and other data received by the satellite receiver [42] (col. 5, ll. 5-35) and subsequently relaying said data to the mobile platform while the mobile platform is at the docking area (col. 6, ll. 22-38). In response to information transmitted therewith, the server buffers information packages provided by the distribution center until said information is to be transferred to its respective mobile platform (col. 5, ll. 40-53), thereby simplifying the distribution process as experienced by the distribution center (col. 3, ll. 54-63).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the docking area of Conrad to include a server comprising a satellite receiver and a storage unit, as taught by Podowski, said server being configured to store order wire data and video data received by the satellite receiver in the storage unit in response to the order wire data, thereby simplifying the distribution of said data by the distribution system.

Art Unit: 2611

As for claims 2, 9, 10, 11, 15, 18, 22, and 25-27, Conrad and Podowski together disclose the communication system of claims 1, 13, and 17. In addition, Conrad discloses the video data includes (and the order wire data schedules) Internet data (col. 9, ll. 39-46), safety message data, advertisement data, or entertainment data (col. 15, ll. 9-40).

As for claims 3, 6, 14, and 19, Conrad and Podowski together disclose the communication system of claims 1, 13, and 17. In addition, Conrad discloses the mobile platform is an airplane [50, 53].

As for claims 4 and 20, Conrad and Podowski together disclose the communication system of claims 1, 13, and 17. In addition, Conrad discloses the wireless docking transceiver is a short-range transceiver (col. 5, 1l. 32-38).

As for claim 5, Conrad and Podowski together disclose the communication system of claim 1. In addition, Conrad discloses the wireless platform transceiver is a radio frequency short range transceiver (microwave link, col. 5, ll. 32-38).

As for claims 7 and 23, Conrad and Podowski together disclose the communication system of claims 1 and 17. In addition, Conrad discloses the mobile platform is a boat (col. 17, ll. 43-54).

Regarding claims 8 and 24, Conrad and Podowski together disclose a communication system and method according to claims 1 and 17, respectively, but fail to explicitly disclose the mobile platform is a road vehicle.

Art Unit: 2611

Official notice is taken of the fact that it is well known in the art to incorporate passenger entertainment systems in road vehicles (e.g., buses), for the purpose of providing passengers with video entertainment and other interactive services.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the communication system and method of Conrad and Podowski in a road vehicle, for the purpose of providing enhanced interactive entertainment services to the passengers.

Regarding claims 16, 21, and 30, Conrad and Podowski together disclose the communication system of claims 13 and 17. In addition, Conrad discloses the wireless platform transceiver transmits mobile platform operational data to the wireless docking area transceiver (col. 10, ll. 25-33).

Regarding claim 28, Conrad and Podowski together disclose the communication system of claim 21. In addition, Conrad discloses the control information (col. 10, ll. 25-33) includes identity information (where TCP/IP communication protocol is employed, col. 10, ll. 10-20, communications between the mobile platform and the airport wireless link inherently comprise identity information).

Regarding claim 29, Conrad and Podowski together disclose the communication system of claim 28. In addition, Conrad discloses the control information (col. 10, ll. 25-33) includes destination information (where TCP/IP communication protocol is employed, col. 10, ll. 10-20, communications between the mobile platform and the airport wireless link inherently comprise destination, i.e., address information).

Art Unit: 2611

#### Conclusion

4. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

# **Certificate of Mailing**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
on (Date)	
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Signature:	
Registration Number:	
Certificate of Transmission	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted Office, Fax No. (703) on (Date)	
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Signature:	
Registration Number:	

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Art Unit: 2611

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Christopher M. Lambrecht whose telephone number is (571) 272-7297. The examiner can

normally be reached on 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Christopher Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher M Lambrecht

Examiner

Art Unit 2611

**CML** 

HAITRAN

PRIMARY EXAMIN